



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/645,071	08/21/2003	Todd A. Goldstein	COS-03-003	6959
7590 10/06/2005		EXAMINER		
WORLDCOM, INC.			SMITH, CREIGHTON H	
Technology La	w Department			
1133 19th Street, N.W.			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Washington, DC 20036			2645	

DATE MAILED: 10/06/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/645,071	GOLDSTEIN ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Creighton H. Smith	2645			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
WHICHE - Extensions after SIX (6 - If NO perio - Failure to r Any reply r	VER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 (b) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. d for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we eply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eccived by the Office later than three months after the mailing ent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠ Res	sponsive to communication(s) filed on 20 Ju	ılv '05				
·	` <u> </u>					
•	, _					
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition o	•	x pario (day)0, 1000 0.5. 11, 40	30 0.0. 210.			
	· _					
	Claim(s) <u>1-29,31 and 32</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
	Claim(s) 11-29 is/are allowed.					
	Claim(s) <u>1-1-29</u> is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are rejected.					
	Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) <u>31, 32</u> is/are objected to.					
	im(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement				
		election requirement.				
Application F	•					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)[_] The	10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
	licant may not request that any objection to the	- · ·	` '			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority unde	r 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1.[1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2.	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3.	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
	application from the International Bureau	(PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
	References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary				
	Oraftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ite			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:						
•	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	-,				

Art Unit: 2645

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Akinpelu '792 in view of Bergman et al '772.

Fig. 3 of Akinpelu & in col. 3, lines 55-65, shows in Step 303 a determination of whether a geographic number (location) portability is in use in the region where the phone call is made. If so, then a local database will return (from a query made to it by a switch) a preferred carrier. Akinpelu shows that the database consulted (952) with the routing query is also known as a SCP, see Fig. 9. In col. 4. lines 15-23, Akinpelu et al disclose that if the preferred carrier is not available (Step-313), then at Step-317 a determination is made whether the subscriber has specified an alternate carrier. If so, the call is routed to the alternate carrier for completion. Akinpelu et al never specifically mention that one of the reasons for rerouting their phone call from a local exchange carrier onto an alternate carrier, such as the cable TV carrier, is to avoid long distance/toll charges. However, Bergman et al disclose in cols. 6 & 21, lines 23-24 & 33-36, that in order to avoid toll charges, their phone call could be placed over Internet protocol (VoIP). To have used Bergman's teaching of routing a phone call over VoIP in order to avoid long distance toll charges in Akinpelu et al. apparatus would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art because of the fact that Akinpelu teaches the alternate routing of an LNP phone call to different

carriers, and with Bergman's teaching of routing a phone call over VoIP to avoid toll charges the skilled artisan in telephony would have readily recognized that another alternate carrier would be VoIP in order to avoid long distance toll charges. And since both references are trying to solve similar problems involving local/virtual number portability, the skilled artisan would look to Bergman to implement their ideas in Akinpelu. Every CO switch is associated with a service provider and every terminated call is over a service provider's circuit.

Claims 11-29 are allowed.

Claims 31 and 32 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Art Unit: 2645

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Creighton H

Smith at telephone number 571/272-7546.

21 SEP '05

Creighton H Smith Primary Examiner Art Unit 2645